

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	itle : Planning Proposal - land bounded by Falcon, Alexander and Burlington Streets : Willoughby Lane, Crows Nest		reets and		
Proposal Summ				and Willoughby	
PP Number:	PP_2012_NOF	RTH_004_00	Dop File No :	12/10161	
roposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	07-Jun-2012		LGA covered :	North Sydne	у
Region :	Sydney Region	East	RPA :	North Sydne	y Council
State Electorate	: NORTH SHORE	E	Section of the Act	55 - Planning	J Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			Ŷ	
Location Detail	S				
Street :	6-8 Falcon Street				
Suburb :	Crows Nest	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2065
Land Parcel :	Lot 1 DP 314750				
Street :	10 Falcon Street				15
Suburb :	Crows Nest	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2065
Land Parcel :	Lots 1-3 DP 455869, Lot 13 Sec 4 DP 1265				
Street :	Willoughby Lane				
Suburb :	Crows Nest	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2065
Land Parcel :	Lot 1 DP 104029				
Street :	34-42 Alexander Str	eet			
Suburb :	Crows Nest	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2065
Land Parcel :	Lot 10 Sec 4 DP 126 185720	5, Lot 11 Sec DP	1265, Lot 12 Sec 4 DP 126	5, Lot 1 DP 108182	20, Lot 1 DP

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Nigel Riley
Contact Number :	0292286439
Contact Email :	Nigel.Riley@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Alex Williams
Contact Number :	0299368100
Contact Email :	alex.williams@northsydney.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact	Name :
Contact	Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro Inner North subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	194
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	 The site currently contains: - a Woolworths supermarket building fronting Falcon and Alexander Streets, with loading facilities using part of Willoughby Lane, - a restaurant building fronting Falcon Street, - a Council-owned car park with 132 car spaces fronting Alexander and Burlington Streets and part of Willoughby Lane, - five specialty shops fronting Burlington and Alexander Streets, and - an amenities block fronting Burlington Street. 		

		00), which proceeded past Gateway stage but was discontinued ent of community consultation.	
	The previous proposal ir introduction of a building	nvolved the rezoning of the site to the Commercial zone and g height control of 16m.	
	The revised Planning Pro rezoning of the site to th a height control of 19.15	e Commercial zone ,	
	a site specific provision	enabling a car park as an additional permitted use, and ation of the land as "operational" under the Local Government Act	
	relation to building heigh	o amend the North Sydney Development Control Plan 2002 in nts, setbacks and public car parking on the site. These ed to be exhibited concurrently with the Planning Proposal.	
External Supporting Notes :		× •	
Adequacy Assessmer	nt		
Statement of the ob	ojectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ol	bjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	Adequate. The objective of the Planning Proposal is to amend the NSLEP 2001 to enable a replacement supermarket, above ground multi-level car parking facility, replacement specialty shops and an electrical substation as part of the redevelopment of the site.		
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55	(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :			
3. Introduce a height control of 19.15m		control of 19.15m for the site, and fication of Council-owned land on the site as "operational" under the	
Justification - s55 (2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strate	gy been agreed to by the D	irector General? No	
b) S.117 directions ider	ntified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	
* May need the Director General's agreement		3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
Is the Director Gene	eral's agreement required?	Yes	
c) Consistent with Star	ndard Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : No	
d) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land	

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :
The Planning Proposal is consistent with the above section 117 Directions and SEPPs, with the exception of Direction 3.1 Residential Zones. The Planning Proposal seeks to rezone land from Mixed Use to Commercial, which removes the requirement, under Clause 32(2) of NSLEP 2001, that any new development on this land contain a residential component. The proponent has indicated that North Sydney Council has been able to identify housing for over 8,000 dwellings within their LGA by 2031, and that the INDSS target of 5,500 dwellings by 2031 is attainable without the inclusion of the subject site. Therefore, the inconsistency with this Direction is considered of minor significance and it is recommended that the Director-General approve the inconsistency.
Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Aerial photograph of site and current zoning and height maps provided. No proposed amendment maps provided.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

The RPA proposes to exhibit the planning proposal in accordance with community consultation requirements issued under the Gateway Determination.

A community consultation period of 14 days was required under the Gateway Determination for the original Planning Proposal for this site and is considered appropriate for this resubmitted Planning Proposal.

In accordance with section 29 of the Local Government Act 1993, a public hearing is proposed to be undertaken in relation to the proposed reaffirming of Council-owned land as "operational".

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? N/A

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : September 2012

Comments in relation
to Principal LEP :The draft Principal LEP was exhibited in early 2010 and is presently at section 68 stage.to Principal LEP :Under the draft LEP, the site is zoned B3 Commercial Core and has a height control of 16m.
This is consistent with the amendments proposed under the original Planning Proposal for
this site (PP_2010_NORTH_002_00).

Assessment Criteria

Need for planningAdequate. The proposed amendments will enable the redevelopment of the site for: aproposal :larger multi-level supermarket, a larger and above ground multi-level public car parking

Willoughby Lane, Crow	s Nest		
	height control o redeveloped site	nent specialty shops and electrical substation f 19.15m has been proposed to enable an op e. Concurrent amendments to the North Syd guide the design of the site.	otimal building design for the
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	It is consistent with the Metropolitan Plan for 2036, and the draft Inner North Subregional Strategy in that the proposal aims to intensify an employment generating use close to public transport opportunities.		
	-	ezoning to 'Commercial zone' will not advers North Sydney LGA.	sely affect the residential
Environmental social economic impacts :	The proposal will have a positive effect on the local economy and community by enhancing the site as centre based retailing that is an employment generator, and provides improved parking facilities in the area.		
	The traffic report provided by the proponent indicates that the surrounding road network can cater for the additional traffic generated by the proposal, and that the provision of car spaces is considered appropriate and would improve parking within the Crows Nest Town Centre.		
	An Economic Needs Assessment provided by the proponent summarises that the economic impact of the proposal on the surrounding area would likely be shared and would not detrimentally affect the performance of any one store.		
Assessment Process	5		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	Yes	
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :	This planning pr generator.	roposal will enhance the centre's faciliities a	and act as an employment
	It is unlikely to a	dversely impact on the surrounding area.	
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :	If Yes, reasons : Traffic Report & Economic Needs Assessment provided as part of Planning Proposal.		
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :		
If Other, provide reasons			3
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if require	ed :	
No internal consultation required			

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

	1.4.1	
Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
North Sydney PP 4 June 2012 - covering letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
North Sydney PP 4 June 2012 - Council report.pdf	Proposal	Yes
North Sydney PP 4 June 2012 - Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
North Sydney PP 4 June 2012 - Economic Need	Study	Yes
Assessment Pitney Bowes.pdf		
North Sydney PP 4 June 2012 - site aerial photo and map.pdf	Photograph	Yes
North Sydney PP 4 June 2012 - Review against Draft	Study	Yes
Centres Policy.pdf		
North Sydney PP 4 June 2012 - Transport Report Colston	Study	Yes
Budd Hunt and Kafes Pty Ltd.pdf		

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	It is recommended this planning proposal proceed without variation.
	The Planning proposal be placed on public exhibition for 14 days.
	That the Roads and Maritime Services be consulted as part of this LEP process.
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal is consistent with the Metropolitan Plan for 2036 and Inner North Subregional Strategy, and relevant EPI's applying to the project site.
Signature:	Ausan Aley.
Printed Name:	SUSAN SKY Date: 15 June 20/2.